

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WPC (OAC) No.424 OF 2018

Sunil Kumar Mahapatra

.....

Petitioner

*Mr. Ramakrishna Patro,
Advocate*

Vs.

State of Odisha & others

.....

Opposite parties

State Counsel

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

ORDER

12.09.2022

Order No.

1

This matter is taken up by hybrid mode.

2. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
3. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking direction to opposite parties to consider his representation for appointment vide Annexure-6 & 7 and to give him appointment by 3rd Redrawn list as per Annexure-3.
4. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner states that highlighting the grievances, the petitioner has made representation to opposite party no.5 vide Annexure-7 and the same may be directed to be considered within a stipulated time, to which learned State Counsel has no objection.
5. As agreed by the learned counsel for the parties, this Court, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, disposes of the writ petition directing opposite party no.5 to consider the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure-7 and pass appropriate order in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of

production of certified copy this order.

6. Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

Alok

(DR. B.R. SARANGI)
JUDGE

