

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P. (C) No. 26035 of 2022

Avinash Jena

.....

Petitioner

Mr. D.R. Bhokta, Advocate

Vs.

State of Odisha and others

.....

Opposite parties

Mr. S. Jena, SC, S&ME Deptt

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

ORDER

01.11.2022

Order No.

01

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes to remove the defect within three days.
3. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking direction to opposite parties to give appointment to the petitioner under Rehabilitation Assistance within a stipulated period.
4. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner states that highlighting the grievance, the petitioner has made representation to the opposite party no.4 vide Annexure-4 and direction may be given to consider and dispose of the same within a stipulated time, taking into consideration Annexure-5 series, to which learned Standing Counsel for School & Mass Education Deptt. has no objection.
5. As agreed to by learned counsel for the parties, this Court without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, disposes of the writ petition directing the opposite party no.4 to take a decision on the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure-4, taking into consideration Annexure-5 series and pass appropriate order in accordance with law, within a period of three months from the date of production of certified copy of this order by the petitioner.
6. Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

Arun

(DR. B.R. SARANGI, J.)