

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No. 25957 of 2022

Ranjit Kumar Naik

.....

Petitioner

Mr. R. Swain, Advocate

Vs.

State of Odisha and others

.....

Opposite parties

Mr. S. Rath, ASC.

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

ORDER

28.10.2022

Order No.

01

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes to remove the defect within three days.
3. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
4. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking direction to opposite party no.2 to send his name for regularization of his service consequent upon sending his name for enrolment for the purpose of getting E.P.F. benefits.
5. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner states that highlighting the grievance, the petitioner has made representation to opposite party no.2 vide Annexure-6 and direction be given to consider and dispose of the same within a stipulated time, to which learned counsel for the state has no objection.
6. As agreed to by learned counsel for the parties, this Court without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, disposes of the writ petition directing the opposite party no.2 to take a decision on the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure-6 and pass appropriate order in accordance with law, within a period of three months from the date of production of certified copy of this order by the petitioner.

Arun

(DR. B.R. SARANGI, J.)