

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No. 25682 of 2022

Himadri Kar

.....

Petitioner

Mr. H.S. Panda, Advocate

Vs.

State of Odisha and others

.....

Opposite parties

Mr. S. Jena, SC, S&ME Deptt

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

ORDER

31.10.2022

Order No.

01

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes to remove the defect within three days.
3. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
4. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking direction to the opposite parties, more particularly the opposite parties 4 and 5 to take necessary steps for releasing the funds and family pensionary benefit in favour of the petitioner.
5. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the Petitioner states that highlighting the grievances, the Petitioner has made a representation to Opposite Party No.4 vide Annexure-6 and the same may be directed to be considered within a stipulated time, to which learned Standing Counsel for the School & Mass Education Department has no objection.
6. As agreed to by learned Counsel for the parties, this Court, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, disposes of the Writ Petition directing Opposite Party No.4 to consider the representation filed by the Petitioner vide Annexure-6, in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of production of certified copy this order.
7. Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

Arun

(DR. B.R. SARANGI, J.)