

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No.25546 of 2022

Jagadish Chandra Panda

....

Petitioner

-versus-

State of Odisha & Others

....

Opposite Parties

COROM:

JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY

ORDER

30.09.2022

Order No

- 1.** This matter is taken up through Hybrid Mode.
- 2.** Heard learned counsel for Petitioner and learned counsel for the State-Opposite Parties.
- 3.** The present Writ Petition has been filed with the following prayer:-

“ It is, therefore, prayed that your Lordships’ be graciously pleased to admit the writ petition, issue rule nisi in the nature of appropriate writ or any other writ(s) as deem fit and proper calling upon the Opp. Parties to show cause as to why the prayer made hereunder shall not be allowed, and if no/insufficient cause is shown, the said rule be made absolute by issuing writ(s) in the nature of;

The Opp. Parties be directed to grant the 3rd financial up-gradation under MACP Scheme in the Grade Pay of Rs.6600/- w.e.f 08.06.2017 on completion of 30 years of continuous service in accordance with the ORSP Rules, 2017 with all other service and financial benefits including pension and pensionary benefits within a stipulated period in the interest of justice”.

- 4.** Learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that through highlighting his grievances, the Petitioner has filed a representation at Annexure-5 to the Writ Petition, before

the opposite party No.2 but till date nothing has been done in the matter. In such background, she prays that a direction be issued to opposite party No.2 to take a decision on the above noted petition within a specific time period.

5. Considering the submissions made and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this Court directs opposite party No.2 to take a decision on the above noted petition in accordance with law and also taking into account the order passed under Annexure-6 within a period of three months from the date of production of certified copy of this order and communicate the result of such exercise to the petitioner.

6. With the aforesaid observation and direction, the Writ Petition is disposed of.

(Biraja Prasanna Satapathy)
Judge

Subrat