

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WPC (OAC) No. 1176 of 2010

Nalinikanta Mohanty

....

Petitioner

Mr. D. Routray, Advocate

-Versus -

State of Odisha and others

....

Opposite Parties

State Counsel

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

ORDER

02.02.2022

Order No.

01

This matter is taken up through video conferencing mode.

2. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking to quash the resolution dated 21.01.2010, and to issue direction to the opposite parties to consider his case along with assistant engineers having degree qualification at the entry level and further seeks direction to the opposite parties to consider his case for promotion in the same cadre without making any discrimination, within a stipulated time.

3. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner states that highlighting the grievances, the petitioner has made representation to the opposite party no.1 vide Annexure-5 and the same may be directed to be disposed of within a stipulated time, to which learned State Counsel has raised no objection.

4. As agreed by learned counsel for the parties, this Court without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, disposes of the writ petition directing opposite party no.1 to consider the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure-5, and pass appropriate order in accordance with

law within a period of three months from the date of production/communication of certified/authenticated copy of this order.

As the restrictions due to resurgence of COVID-19 situation are continuing, learned counsel for the parties may utilize a print out of the order available in the High Court's website, at par with certified copy, subject to attestation by the concerned advocate, in the manner prescribed, vide Court's Notice No.4587 dated 25th March, 2020, as modified by Court's notice no. 4798 dated 15th April, 2021, and Court's Office Order circulated vide Memo Nos.514 and 515 dated 7th January, 2022.

Ashok



(Dr. B.R. Sarangi)
Judge