

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No. 24387 of 2022

*Maitri Acharjya*

.....

*Petitioner*

*Mr. S. K. Mishra, Advocate*

Vs.

*State of Odisha and others*

.....

*Opposite parties*

*State Counsel*

**CORAM:**

**DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI**

**ORDER**

**23.11.2022**

**Order No.**

02

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
3. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking direction to the opposite parties to engage him as Ganasikshyak in view of the government resolution dated 16.02.2008 under Annexure-6 and the order dated 03.07.2008 under Annexure-8, as he is otherwise entitled for the same in view of the fact that similarly situated persons have already been engaged as Ganasikhyak in compliance of the order passed by this Court in the case of *Sulochana Nayak v. State of Orissa* (W.P.(C) No.3317 of 2009 disposed of on 07.04.2009).
4. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner states that highlighting the grievance, the petitioner has made representation to the opposite party no.1 vide Annexure-12 and direction be given to consider and dispose of the same within a stipulated time, to which learned counsel for the State has no objection.
5. In view of the aforesaid limited grievance of the petitioner, this Court disposes of the writ petition directing the opposite party no.1 to take a decision on the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure-12 and pass appropriate order in accordance with law, within a period of three months from the date of production of certified copy of this order by the petitioner.
6. Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

*Arun*

**(DR. B.R. SARANGI, J.)**