

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No.24074 of 2022

Itismita Swain

....

Petitioner

-versus-

State of Odisha & Others

....

Opposite Parties

COROM:

JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY

ORDER

28.09.2022

Order No

- 1.** 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Mode.
- 2.** 2. Heard learned counsel for Petitioner and learned counsel for the State-Opposite Parties.
- 3.** 3. The present Writ Petition has been filed with the following prayer:-

“ Therefore, under the facts and circumstances stated above, it is most respectfully prayed that this Hon’ble Court may graciously be pleased to admit the writ application, issue rule NISI calling upon the Opp.Parties to show cause, and after hearing the counsels for the respective parties, may be pleased to allow the writ application and pass a writ, more particularly in the nature of mandamus thereby directing the authorities / Opp. Parties in order to appoint her on daily-wage basis in the post of MPHWF taking into consideration of her past experience where there remains large numbers of the vacancies for the interest of justice and equity”.

- 4.** 4. Learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that through highlighting his grievances, the Petitioner has filed a representation at Annexure-6 to the Writ Petition, before the opposite party No.3 but till date nothing has been done in the matter. In such background, he prays that a

direction be issued to opposite party No.3 to take a decision on the above noted petition within a specific time period.

5. Considering the submissions made and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this Court directs opposite party No.3 to take a decision on the above noted petition in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of production of certified copy of this order and communicate the result of such exercise to the petitioner.

6. With the aforesaid observation and direction, the Writ Petition is disposed of.

(Biraja Prasanna Satapathy)
Judge

Subrat

