

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No. 24069 of 2022

Pradhan Hansada

.....

Petitioner

Mr. L. Bhoi, Advocate

Vs.

State of Odisha and others

.....

Opposite Parties

Mr. S.N. Nayak, ASC

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

ORDER

20.09.2022

Order No.

01.

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Heard.

3. The petitioner has filed this Writ Petition challenging the notice of eviction issued by the Tahasildar, Kuliana, in encroachment proceeding No. 393 of 2020-21 under Annexure-1.

4. Mr. S. N. Nayak, learned Additional Standing Counsel contended that instead of filing objection to the notice issued to the petitioner, the petitioner has approached this Court in the present writ petition, which is not maintainable.

5. Since the Petitioner has come up challenging the notice of eviction, this Court is not inclined to entertain the prayer made in this Writ Petition. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to pursue his remedy before the appropriate authority by filing objection, if he is so advised, so that the matter can be considered and appropriate orders can be passed in accordance with law.

6. With that liberty, the Writ Petition stands disposed of.

Arun

(DR. B.R. SARANGI)
JUDGE