

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No.23962 of 2022

Tejraj Muna

.... Petitioner

-versus-

State of Odisha & Ors.

.... Opposite Parties

**COROM:
JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY**

**ORDER
21.09.2022**

Order No

- 01.** 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Mode.
2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner and learned counsel for the State- Opposite Parties.
3. The Petitioner has filed the present Writ Petition with the following prayer:-

“(i) In view of the above stated facts and circumstances, this Hon’ble Court may graciously be pleased to issue Rule NISI calling upon the Opp. Parties to show cause as to why necessary directions shall not be issued to regularize the service of the petitioner in the post of Peon-cum-Chowkidar (Class-IV) lying vacant in the office of the Panchayat Samiti, Sohela in the District of Bargarh with all consequential service benefits;

And if the Opp. Parties fail to show cause or show insufficient case this Hon’ble Court may make the said rule absolute by issuing a writ of mandamus directing the Opp. Parties to regularize the services of the Petitioner in the post of Peon-cum-Chowkidar (Class-IV) lying vacant in the office of the Panchayat Samiti, Sohela in the District of Bargarh with all consequential service benefits;

And further be pleased to issue any other appropriate writ/writs, rule/rules or order/orders, direction/directions as maybe deemed fit and proper in the interest of justice”.

5. Considering the submission made and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the Petitioner is directed to make fresh representation before the Opposite Party No.2 by enclosing all the relevant documents and citations in support of his claim, if any, within a period of one week hence.

6. It is observed that if such a representation is filed within the aforesaid period, the Opposite Party No.2 shall do well to dispose of the representation within a period of one month. The decision so taken by the Opposite Party No.2 be communicated to the Petitioner within that time.

7. With the aforesaid observations and directions, the Writ Petition is disposed of.

(Biraja Prasanna Satapathy)
Judge

Subrat