

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No.23327 of 2022

Pabitra Kumar Nayak

....

Petitioner

-versus-

State of Odisha & Ors.

....

Opposite Parties

CORAM:

JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY

ORDER

16.09.2022

Order No

01. 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual/Physical) Mode.
2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner and learned counsel for the Opposite Parties.
3. The Petitioner has filed the present Writ Petition with the following prayer:-

“It is, therefore, humbly prayed that your Lordships may be pleased to issue direction to the State/O.P, more particularly to O.P.5 -Collector, Kandhamal to modify the order under Anx.5 and grant 3rd MACP financial upgradation on 30 years of service in the post of VIM/PEO w.e.f. 3.6.2016 by fixing pay of the petitioner in Level-8, Cell-24 at Rs.57,500/ in the pay matrix of CRSP Rules, 2017 in view of similar orders passed by Collector, Sundergarh under Anx.:.7 and B.D.O.Saintala under Anx.8 and accordingly, the pension of the petitioner be revised with all consequential financial benefits.

And pass any other order/orders as deemed fit and proper in the ends of justice, equity and good conscience.

And for this act of kindness, the petitioner shall, as in duty bound ever pray.”

4. Learned counsel for the Petitioner further submits that through highlighting his grievances, the Petitioner has filed an application at Annexure-9 to the Writ Petition before the O.P. No.5, but till date nothing has been done in the matter. In such background, learned counsel for the Petitioner prays that a direction be issued to Opposite Party No.5 to take a decision on the above noted Petition within a specific time period.

5. Considering the submissions made and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this Court directs Opposite Party No.5 to take a decision on the above noted petition taking into account Annexure-7 & 8 within a period of three (3) months from the date of receipt of this order and communicate the result of such exercise to the Petitioner.

6. The Writ Petition is disposed of accordingly.

(Biraja Prasanna Satapathy)
Judge

Sneha