

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WPC (OAC) No. 584 of 2009

Prasad Kumar Sahoo

.....

Petitioner

Mr. B. Barik, Advocate

Vs.

State of Odisha and others

.....

Opposite parties

State counsel

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

ORDER

12.01.2022

Order No.

1

This matter is taken up through video conferencing mode.

2. Heard.

3. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking direction to the opposite party No.2 to sanction the periodical increment from the year 2004 to till date and release the differential amount accordingly.

4. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner states that highlighting the grievances, the petitioner has made a representation to opposite party No.2 vide Annexure-5 and the same may be directed to be considered within a stipulated time, to which learned counsel for the State has no objection.

5. As agreed to by learned counsel for the parties, this Court, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, disposes of the writ petition directing opposite party No.2 to consider the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure-5, and pass appropriate order in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of production of the authenticated/certified copy of this order.

6. As the restrictions due to resurgence of COVID-19 situation are continuing, learned counsel for the parties may utilize a print out of the

order available in the High Court's website, at par with certified copy, subject to attestation by the concerned advocate, in the manner prescribed, vide Court's Notice No.4587 dated 25th March, 2020, as modified by Court's Notice No. 4798 dated 15th April, 2021, and Court's Office Order circulated vide Memo Nos.514 and 515 dated 7th January, 2022.

Arun

(DR. B.R. SARANGI, J.)

