

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK**

**W.P.(C) No.21569 of 2022**

***Gopichand H. Krishnani***

....

***Petitioner***

Mr. V. Sharma, Proxy Counsel on behalf of  
M/s. B. Baivab and associates, Advocates

*-versus-*

***Ashish Kumar Jain and others***

....

***Opposite Parties***

Mr. P. K. Parhi, DSGI for Opposite Parties 5 to 8

**CORAM:  
THE CHIEF JUSTICE  
JUSTICE M.S. RAMAN**

**ORDER  
12.12.2022**

**Order No.**

- 03.
1. This case was adjourned three months ago at the request of learned counsel for the Petitioner, who wanted to place case laws to show how the writ petition is maintainable.
  2. Today, again an adjournment is sought. The Court does not find the request to be reasonable. When asked to proceed with the matter, learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner expresses his inability to do so.
  3. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

***(Dr. S. Muralidhar)***  
***Chief Justice***

***(M.S. Raman)***  
***Judge***

*M. Panda*