

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No.20563 of 2022

Debendranath Panda & Ors.

....

Petitioner(s)

Mr. M.M. Patnaik,
Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha & Ors.

....

Opposite Party(s)

Mr. S. Mishra,
Addl. Standing Counsel

**CORAM:
JUSTICE BISWANATH RATH**

**ORDER
06.09.2022**

Order No.

01. 1. Since the role of the Tahasildar is very much essential in this proceeding, the Court considering the request made by the learned counsel for Petitioners permits the learned counsel for Petitioners to bring the concerned Tahasildar to the fold of the Writ Petition by making necessary corrections in the cause title of the Writ Petition in Court today.
2. It appears, there is pendency of similar allegation before the Collector, Khurda as well as the Tahasildar, Baliana. This Court, therefore, directs the Collector, Khurda and the Tahasildar, Baliana to look into the grievance of the Petitioners in their representations vide Annexures-3 & 4 and do the needful involving the representatives of the group of persons represented.
3. The entire exercise shall be completed at least within a period of one & half months from the date of communication of a certified copy of this order by the Petitioners.

4. The Writ Petition stands disposed of with the above order.

(Biswanath Rath)
Judge

Ayaskanta Jena

