

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

CRLMP No.1624 of 2022

Chaitanya Sahoo

....

Petitioner

*Mr. S. Rath,
Advocate*

-versus-

State of Odisha & others

....

Opp. Parties

*Mr. D.K. Mohanty,
Additional Standing Counsel*

**CORAM:
JUSTICE BISWAJIT MOHANTY**

**ORDER
30.08.2022**

Order No.

- 01.
1. Heard Mr. S. Rath, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. D.K. Mohanty, learned Additional Standing Counsel.
 2. According to Mr. Rath, the grievance of the petitioner in this case pertains to non-registration of his complaint dated 03.03.2020 under Annexure-2 as F.I.R. by the O.I.C., Nayagarh Sadar Police Station (opposite party No.3) though the same reveals commission of cognizable offences.
 3. During course of hearing, Mr. Rath submits that liberty may be granted to the petitioner to move the Superintendent of Police, Nayagarh (opposite party No.2) in the matter by filing a grievance petition and the said opposite party be directed to take a decision on such motion within a specific time period.
 4. Considering the submissions made and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this Court grants liberty to the petitioner to file a grievance petition before opposite party No.2 through registered post within a period of two weeks from today. In the event, such a petition is filed

along with a copy of this order, the opposite party No.2 is directed to take a decision on the same in accordance with law keeping in mind the decision of the Supreme Court in the case of **Lalita Kumari Vs. State of U.P. & Others** reported in **AIR 2014 SC 187** within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of such grievance petition and communicate the result of such exercise to the petitioner.

5. Accordingly, this CRLMP is disposed of.
6. Urgent certified copy of this order be granted on proper application.

Prasant

(**Biswajit Mohanty**)
Judge

