

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P. (C) No. 18291 of 2022

Manmohan Mahanta

.....

Petitioner

Mr. S.K. Padhi, Advocate

Vs.

State of Orissa and others

.....

Opposite parties

State Counsel

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

ORDER

12.12.2022

Order No.

03

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
3. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking direction to opposite parties no.7 and 8 to allow the petitioner to work in the school.
4. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner states that highlighting the grievance, the petitioner has made representation to the opposite party no.2 vide Annexure-6 and direction may be given to consider and dispose of the same within a stipulated time, to which learned State Counsel has raised no objection.
5. As agreed by learned counsel for the parties, this Court without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, disposes of the writ petition directing the opposite party no.2 to take a decision on the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure-6, and pass appropriate order in accordance with law, within a period of three months from the date of production of certified copy of this order by the petitioner.
6. Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

Ashok

(DR. B.R. SARANGI, J.)