

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WPC (OAC) No. 1364 of 2006

Soumitri Kumar Behura

.....

Petitioner

Mr. B.M. Patnaik, Advocate

Vs.

State of Odisha

.....

Opposite parties

State Counsel

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

ORDER

10.01.2022

Order No.

01

This matter is taken up through video conferencing mode.

2. None appears for the petitioner at the time of call.

3. Mr. M. Balabantaray, learned Standing Counsel for the State contended that since the petitioner seeks for quashing of the rejection of the application of the applicant to the post of Assistant Engineer (Civil) pursuant to the advertisement made in the year 2006, by efflux of time, the writ petition has become infructuous.

4. In view of the above, the writ petition stands disposed of as infructuous.

5. As the restrictions due to resurgence of COVID-19 situation are continuing, learned counsel for the parties may utilize a print out of the order available in the High Court's website, at par with certified copy, subject to attestation by the concerned advocate, in the manner prescribed, vide Court's Notice No.4587 dated 25th March, 2020, as modified by Court's Notice No. 4798 dated 15th April, 2021, and Court's Office Order circulated vide Memo Nos.514 and 515 dated 7th January, 2022.

Arun

(DR. B.R. SARANGI, J.)