IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WPC (OA) No. 883 of 2007

Srinibas Sahoo ….. ***Petitioner***

Mr. S.N. Patnaik, Advocate

Vs.

State of Odisha and others ….. ***Opposite parties***

State Counsel

CORAM:
DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

ORDER
05.01.2022

Order No.
01

This matter is taken up by hybrid mode.

2. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking for a direction to the opposite parties to give him suitable appointment according to the seniority of claim in rehabilitation appointment.

3. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner may be permitted to file a fresh representation before opposite party no.1 highlighting the grievances and direction may be given to consider the same within a stipulated time, to which learned counsel for the State has no objection.

4. As agreed to by learned counsel for the parties, but, however, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this writ petition stands disposed of with the direction that in case the petitioner, with regard to the grievance made in this petition, files a fresh comprehensive representation before opposite party no.1, within fifteen days hence, the said authority shall consider the same and pass a reasoned and speaking order as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of such representation along with the certified copy of this order.

Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

Arun

(DR. B.R. SARANGI)
JUDGE