

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P (C) No. 15815 of 2016

Prasant Kumar Pujapanda

.....

Petitioners

Mr. A.C. Panda, Advocate

Vs.

*Bhubaneswar Municipal
Corporation, Bhubaneswar &
Others*

.....

Opposite parties

*Mr. B. Dah, Advocate
(O.P. Nos.1 & 2)*

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

MISS JUSTICE SAVITRI RATHO

ORDER

27.04.2022

Order No.

04.

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Mr. A.C. Panda, learned counsel for the petitioner states that in the meantime the work has already been over and, therefore, by efflux of time the writ petition has become infructuous. So far as the final bill and refund of EMD is concerned, the petitioner may be granted liberty to pursue his remedy before the appropriate forum in terms of the DTCN/agreement itself.

3. In view of the above submission, the writ petition stands disposed of with the liberty aforesaid.

**(DR. B.R. SARANGI)
JUDGE**

Ashok/Sukanta

**(SAVITRI RATHO)
JUDGE**