

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No.16444 of 2022

Bimala Nayak

....

Petitioner

Mr. Gopinath Mishra, Advocate

-versus-

**Collector, Dhenkanal &
others**

....

Opp. Parties

Mr. Ch. Satyajit Mishra,
Additional Government Advocate

CORAM:

**JUSTICE BISWAJIT MOHANTY
MISS JUSTICE SAVITRI RATHO**

ORDER

14.07.2022

Order No.

1. Heard Mr. Gopinath Mishra, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Ch. Satyajit Mishra, learned Additional Government Advocate.
2. According to Mr. Mishra, the petitioner is aggrieved by rejection of her claim under Annexure-2 by the Sub-Collector, Kamakhyanagar (opposite party no.2) for being covered under displaced category and thereby depriving her of her legitimate benefits under the Odisha Rehabilitation and Resettlement Policy 2006. During course of hearing, he submits that liberty may be granted to the petitioner to approach the Collector, Dhenkanal (opposite party no.1) by filing a representation for redressal of her grievances and further prays that the said opposite party be directed to take a decision on such representation within a stipulated time period.
3. Considering the submissions made and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this Court grants liberty to the petitioner to approach the opposite party

no.1 by filing a representation along with a certified copy of this order through Registered Post within a period of two weeks. In the event, such an application is filed before opposite party no.1, he/she is directed to take a decision on the same in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of receiving of such representation after giving reasonable opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and communicate the result of such exercise to the petitioner.

4. The writ application is accordingly disposed of.
5. Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

(Biswajit Mohanty)
Judge

(Savitri Ratho)
Judge



puspa