

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
W.P.(C) Nos. 16290, 16876, 16215 and 16308 of 2022

In W.P.(C) No. 16290 of 2022

Dr. Satya Narayan Bhujabala & Anr. *Petitioner*

Ms. Pami Rath, Adv.

-versus-

Veer Surendra Sai Institute of Medical Science and Research, Burla and Ors. *Opposite Parties*

Mr. D. Mund, AGA

In W.P.(C) No. 16215 of 2022

Dr. Swaraj Sambit Samal *Petitioner*
Mr. Deba Ranjan Mohapatra, Adv.

-versus-

State of Odisha and Ors. *Opposite Parties*
Mr. D. Mund, AGA

In W.P.(C) No.16308 of 2022

Sarmistha Subhadarsini *Petitioner*
Mr. Jagabandhu Sahu, Adv

-versus-

State of Odisha and Ors. *Opposite Parties*
Mr. D. Mund, AGA

In W.P.(C) No. No. 16876 of 2022

Dr. Jyoti Pradhan & Anr. *Petitioners*
Ms. Pami Rath, Adv.

-versus-

Veer Surendra Sai Institute of *Opposite Parties*
Medical Science and Research, Burla
and Ors.

Mr. D. Mund, AGA

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE S.K. PANIGRAHI

Order
No.

ORDER
02.08.2022

4. 1. All the matters are taken up through hybrid mode.
2. Learned counsel for the parties are present. Judgment prepared in separate sheets is delivered and pronounced in open Court in the presence of learned counsel for the parties and the order is passed accordingly as follows:-
3. Similar sentiment has been echoed in *University Grants Commission v. Neha Anil Bobde*¹, wherein it was held that in academic matters, unless there is a clear violation of statutory provisions, the regulations or the notification issued, the Courts shall keep their hands off.
4. In light of the above-mentioned facts and precedents cited hereinabove, this Court is not inclined to allow the petition of the petitioners. All the Writ Petitions tagged

¹ (2013) 10 SCC 519

along with the present Writ Petition are hereby dismissed.

5. Interim orders passed earlier in W.P.(C) Nos.16290, 16215 and 16308 of 2022 stand vacated.

(Dr. S.K. Panigrahi)
Judge

BJ

