IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No.16283 of 2022

Kajal Sinha …. Petitioner

-versus-

State of Odisha & Ors. …. Opposite Parties

CORAM:
JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY

ORDER
21.07.2022

Order No

01. **1.** This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual/Physical) Mode.

2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner and learned counsel for the Opposite Parties.

3. The Petitioner has filed the present Writ Petition with the following prayer:-

> " *It is therefore humbly prayed that this Hon'ble Court may graciously be pleased direct the Opp. Parties to take necessary steps for release of pension to the petitioner by counting his qualifying service from the date of his appointment as work-charge employee i.e. from dt. 14.1.2011 as because the petitioner's service was regularized as a Junior Clerk-cum- tupist under Work Charge establishment with effet from 14.1.2011 and also his post was regularized on 1.2.2019 and also the petitioner was retired with effect from 31.5.2022 A.N. under the ground of attaining the age of Superannuation as per the office order No. 8 of 2022-23, dated 26.5.2022 the Superintending Engineer, Bhadrak (R & B) division, Bhadrak, while he was working as Junior Clerk under him.*
>
> *Or in alternate may direct the Opp. Party No. 1 i.e. Engineer –in- Chief –Cum- Secretary, Works Department,*

govt. of Odisha to dispose the representation of the petitioner dated 4.6.2022 (Annexure-4) within a stipulated period.

And pass such other order/orders as may be deemed fit and proper for the interest of justice.

And for this act of kindness, the petitioner as in duty bound shall ever pray."

4. Learned counsel for the Petitioner further submits that through highlighting her grievances, the petitioner has filed a representation on 04.06.2022 at Annexure-4 to the Writ Petition before the O.P. No.1, but till date nothing has been done in the matter. In such background, learned counsel for the Petitioner prays that a direction be issued to Opposite Party No.1 to take a decision on the above noted petition within a specific time period.

5. Considering the submissions made and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this Court directs Opposite Party No.1 to take a decision on the above noted petition in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of receipt of this order and communicate the result of such exercise to the Petitioner.

6. The Writ Petition is disposed of accordingly.

(Biraja Prasanna Satapathy)
Judge

Sneha