

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P (C) No. 5557 of 2017

Upendra Kumar Shaw

.....

Petitioner

Mr. S.D. Das, Advocate

Vs.

State of Odisha & Ors.

.....

Opposite parties

State Counsel

CORAM:

**DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI
MISS JUSTICE SAVITRI RATHO**

**ORDER
20.04.2022**

Order No.

3

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that by efflux of time, the writ petition has become infructuous. However, the petitioner may be permitted to pursue his remedy with regard to refund of his EMD before the appropriate authority.
3. In view of the above, the writ petition stands disposed of granting liberty to the petitioner to pursue his remedy before the appropriate authority in terms of the DTCN/agreement executed between the parties.

**(DR. B.R. SARANGI)
JUDGE**

**(SAVITRI RATHO)
JUDGE**