

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK**

**WP(C) No.2492 of 2017**  
(Through Hybrid mode)

***Branch Manager, Internal Review .... Petitioners***  
***Committee, Shriram Life Insurance***  
***Co. Limited and another***

Mr. G. P. Dutta, Advocate

*-versus-*

***Sanjay Bhukta and another .... Opposite Parties***

Mr. R. R. Satapathy, Advocate

**CORAM: JUSTICE ARINDAM SINHA**

**ORDER**  
**20.09.2022**

**Order No.**

09. 1. Mr. Dutta, learned advocate appears on behalf of petitioners (insurance company) and files additional affidavit pursuant to liberty obtained under order dated 13<sup>th</sup> September, 2022.
2. He submits, impugned is award dated 3<sup>rd</sup> December, 2016 of the Permanent Lok Adalat (PLA) awarding on death claim, where the Dead Life Assured (DLA) had misrepresented his age by producing PAN card, obtained four days prior to the proposal.
3. Mr. Satapathy, learned advocate appears on behalf of private opposite party. He submits, it would appear from the additional affidavit that PAN card is age proof accepted as standard by the insurance company, without reservation.

4. The additional affidavit discloses circular dated 29<sup>th</sup> June, 2015 effective 6<sup>th</sup> July, 2015. Entry 7 under standard age proof is PAN card. Admittedly, the DLA had relied on PAN card for disclosing age in the proposal form. The document had not been impeached before the PLA. In the circumstances, there cannot be interference in judicial review on impugned award.

5. The writ petition is without merit and it is dismissed.

RKS

