

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No.16075 of 2022

Laxmi Prasad Khuntia

....

Petitioner(s)

Mr. A.Ku. Pradhan,
Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha & Ors.

....

Opposite Party(s)

Mr. U.K. Sahoo,
Additional Standing Counsel

**CORAM:
JUSTICE BISWANATH RATH**

**ORDER
05.07.2022**

Order No.

01.

1. Mr. Pradhan, learned counsel for the Petitioner alleges that in the demarcation proceeding vide Demarcation Case No.122 of 2022 the Tahasildar, Tirtol desires an order of the High Court for completing such proceeding.

2. Mr. Sahoo, learned State Counsel has strongly refused the claim of the Petitioner.

3. Considering the allegation made by the Petitioner, this Court finds, there is no requirement of any such order from the High Court for disposal of the Demarcation Case No.122 of 2022 and the Tahasildar, Tirtol is duty bound to take a lawful decision on the demarcation proceeding initiated under his jurisdiction. In the process, without interfering into any such controversies at this moment, this Court directs the Tahasildar, Titrol to conclude the proceeding vide Demarcation Case No.122 of 2022 at least within a period of two months from the date of communication of an authenticated copy of

this order by the Petitioner, but however, involving all the parties concerned.

4. The writ petition stands disposed of with the above direction.

(Biswanath Rath)
Judge

Ayaskanta Jena

