

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

ABLAPL No.7446 of 2022

Md. Haider

....

Petitioner

Mr. Sunil Kumar Panda, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha

....

Opposite Party

Mr. S. Patra, A.S.C.

CORAM:

JUSTICE A.K.MOHAPATRA

ORDER

20.07.2022

Order No.

01. 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual /Physical Mode).
2. On the basis of oral prayer, learned counsel for the Petitioner is allowed to make necessary correction in the cause-title of the bail application in court today, so far as the father's name of the Petitioner is concerned.
3. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner and learned Addl. Standing Counsel for the State. Perused the case record.
4. The present application has been filed under Section 438, Cr.P.C. for release of the petitioner on anticipatory bail. However, in view of the bar under Section 18 as well as 18-A of the Scheduled Castes & Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989, the present application under Section 438, Cr.P.C. is not maintainable. The provision of Section 438, Cr.P.C. is not applicable to the case registered involving an offence under the Scheduled Castes & Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989.

5. The aforesaid issue has also been examined by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in the matter of ***Prithvi Raj Chauhan vs. Union of India and others***, reported in ***2020(I) OLR (SC) 419***. In paragraph-10 of the said judgment, it has been held that, provision of Section 438, Cr.P.C. shall not apply to the case under the Scheduled Castes & Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989. While saying so, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has further observed that, if the complaint does not make out a prima facie case for applicability of the provisions of Scheduled Castes & Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989, the bar created by Section 18 and 18-A of the Act shall not apply.

6. Further, this Court has also elaborately discussed the issue in the case of ***Pramod Kumar Ray and others vs. State of Odisha***, reported in ***(2017) 67 OCR 309***. In the light of the principle laid down by this Court in ***Pramod Kumar Ray (supra)***, the present bail application is being disposed of with the following observations.

7. The Petitioner shall surrender before the learned Sessions Judge-cum-Special Judge, Sambalpur in Special G.R. Case No.16 of 2022 corresponding to Sadar P.S. Case No.132 of 2022 within a week hence. Seven days before the Petitioner surrender before that Court, they or their counsel shall serve a copy of the bail application or such number of copies of the bail application on the learned Public Prosecutor/Special Public Prosecutor, as required by him, for the purpose of notice to the victim or his/her counsel dependent.

8. Taking into consideration the nature of the offence as alleged against the Petitioner, it is directed that the Petitioner shall be released on interim bail by the learned Sessions Judge-cum-Special Judge, Sambalpur on the same day, if he surrenders in the aforesaid

case, pending disposal of the bail application on merit, at the time of final hearing of the case, on such terms and conditions as deemed just and proper.

9. The aforesaid order shall not be effective, if the injuries sustained by the victim/victims are near to fatal and the victim/victims are still in bad shape. But, if the injuries are otherwise lesser and the victim/victims is/are hale and hearty, this observation shall not be effective and the Petitioner can be granted interim bail.

10. Accordingly, the ABLAPL is disposed of.

11. Urgent certified copy of this order be granted as per rules.

(A.K. Mohapatra)
Judge

