

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WPC (OAC) No. 157 of 2005

Sreenibasa Mishra

.....

Petitioner

Mr. D.K. Panda, Advocate

Vs.

State of Odisha and others

.....

Opposite parties

State Counsel

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

ORDER

06.01.2022

Order No.

1

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Heard.

3. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking for quashing of the order of rejection dated 02.01.2003, 20.12.2002 vide Annexures-9 and 10 so also the letter dated 15.02.2001 vide Annexure-5 and to direct the opposite parties to re-fix the pension of the petitioner within a stipulated time.

4. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner states that highlighting the grievances, the petitioner has made a representation to opposite party No.4 vide Annexure-12 and the same may be directed to be considered within a stipulated time, taking into consideration Annexures-5 and 8, to which learned counsel for the State has no objection.

5. As agreed to by learned counsel for the parties, this Court, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, disposes of the writ petition directing opposite party No.4 to consider the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure-12, taking into consideration Annexures-5 and 8, and pass appropriate order in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of production of certified copy this order.

Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

Ashok

(DR. B.R. SARANGI, J.)