

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No. 5210 of 2016

Padmanabha Patro

.....

Petitioner

*Mr. Bikram Keshore
Nayak, Advocate*

Vs.

***The Collector & Magistrate
and Others***

.....

Opposite Parties
State Counsel

CORAM:

**DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI
MR. JUSTICE S. K. MISHRA**

ORDER

07.07.2022

Order No.

03.

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Since the contract period has already been over, by efflux of time, the Writ Petition has become infructuous. However, if the Petitioner's claim for refund of any amount, it is open to him to pursue the remedy before the appropriate forum in terms of the DTCN/agreement executed between the parties, which is applicable to them.

3. With the aforesaid liberty, the Writ Petition stands disposed of.

.....
(DR. B.R. SARANGI)
JUDGE

.....
(S. K. MISHRA)
JUDGE