

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

BLAPL No. 4831 of 2022

***Rajesh Bagh @ Chinimahulia
@ Chitu @ Rajesh Bah @
Sugri***

Petitioner

Mr. U.Ch. Jena, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha

Opposite Party

Mr. P.K. Maharaj, ASC

CORAM: JUSTICE V. NARASINGH

**ORDER
24.09.2022**

**Order No.
06.**

I.A. No.1647 of 2022

1. This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.
2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the State.
3. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that because of the stipulation in the order by this Court that one of the surety shall be immediate member of the family, the petitioner is facing hardship for which though order was passed on 16.08.2022, the petitioner is still in custody for not being able to furnish with the surety of the immediate family member.
4. Taking into account the submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner and the recitals in the I.A. and the undertaking of the petitioner's father-in-law (Panchanan Naik) who is prepared to act as surety, the condition as stipulated in paragraph-7 of the order stands modified and learned Court in seisin over the matter is called upon to treat Panchanan Naik, father-in-law of the petitioner as

compliance of surety vis-a-vis immediate family member. The said condition accordingly stands modified.

5. Other stipulations remain unaltered.
6. The I.A. stands disposed of.

(V. NARASINGH)
Judge

Ayesha

