

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P. (C) No. 498 of 2016

Minati Biswal

.....

Petitioner

Mr. S. K. Samal, Advocate

Vs.

State of Odisha and others

.....

Opposite Parties

Mr. A.K. Mishra, AGA &

Mr. P.K. Mohanty, Senior Advocate (for OPSC)

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR MISHRA

ORDER

10.08.2022

Order
No.
09.

W.P.(C) Nos. 498, 366, 1218 and 2905 of 2016, W.P.(C) Nos. 12140, 12529, 23090, 23569 of 2015

These matters are taken up through hybrid mode.

2. In compliance to the order dated 25.07.2022 and 01.08.2022, Mr. P.K. Mohanty, learned Senior Advocate appearing for the OPSC produced the necessary instruction in sealed cover. The said sealed cover document is opened and it is found that none of the Petitioners was qualified in the examination and the reason for such disqualification has also been stated in the said order. The Report be kept on record. The entire report is quoted herein below:-

Detail information in W.P(C) No. 498 / 2016, W.P(C) No. 2905 of 2016, W.P.(C) No. 12140, 12529, 23090, 23569 of 2015 and W.P.(C) No. 366 and 1218 of 2016 in pursuance of order of Hon'ble High Court dt. 25-07-2022 in connection with recruitment to the Odisha Civil Services Examination-2011, pursuant to Advt. No. 05 of 2011-12.

Sl No.	Writ Petitioner W.P.(C). No.	Name of the Petitioners	Roll Nos. of the Petitioners	Preliminary Examination		Marks secured			Remarks (whether qualified or unqualified)
				5823 candidates qualified vide OPSC Notice No. 1839/PSC, Dt. 01.05.2014	5691 candidates subsequently found qualified as per orders dated 16.01.2015 passed in W.P(C) No. 6601/2014 vide OPSC Notice No. 5562/PSC, Dt. 08.12.2015	Mains Examination	Viva Voc Test	Total Marks (Main + V.V. Test)	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)

1	W.P.(C). No. 498/2016	Ms. Minati Biswal, SEBC (F)	315632	Qualified in Perilimianry Examination held on 19.01.014	<u>Not qualified</u> as per orders dt. 18.06.2015 of the Hon'ble Tribunal in OA No. 2146(C)/2014 (Priyambada Das) and other two cases	1067 (Subsequently found disqualified on the application of the new criterion laid down by the OAT vide order dated 18.06.2015 in OA No. 2146(C)/2014)	110 (Not selected for V.V. Test as per orders passed in OA No.2146(C)/2014. But as per court order the petitioner was allowed to appear the V.V.Test)	1177	The petitioner is not qualified as she has already failed to qualify in OCS Preliminary Exam. Which in mandatory in nature as per OCS Recruitment Rule
2	W.P(C) No. 2905/2016	Shri Ajay Kumar Rout,	400231	Qualified in Perilimianry Examination held on 19.01.014	Qualified in Prelim. Exam. As per the orders of the Tribunal passed in OA No. 2146(C)/2014 (Priyambada Das)	Not Qualified in the qualifying subject's i.e 'English' and 'Odia' in OCS Main Exam.	70 (Not selected for V.V. Test as per orders passed in OA No.2146(C)/2014. But as per court order the petitioner was allowed to appear the V.V.Test	-----	Not Qualified in Main Language Subject's i.e. 'English' and 'Odia'
3	W.P.(C) No. 23090/2015	Anasuya Beura	301434	Qualified in Perilimianry Examination held on 19.01.014	<u>Not qualified</u> in Prlim. Exam. As pr orders dt. 18.06.2015 of the Hon'ble Tribunal in OA No. 2146(C)/2014 (Priyambada Das) and other two cases.	Not Qualified in the qualifying subject's i.e 'English' and 'Odia' in OCS Main Exam.	80 (Not selected for V.V. Test as per orders passed in OA No.2146(C)/2014. But as per court order the petitioner was allowed to appear the V.V.Test	----	Not Qualified in Main Language Subject's i.e. 'English' and 'Odia'
4	W.P.(C) No. 23569/2015	Krushna Chandra Sahu, SEBC (M)	312636	Qualified in Perilimianry Examination held on 19.01.014	<u>Not qualified</u> in Prlim. Exam. As pr orders dt. 18.06.2015 of the Hon'ble Tribunal in OA No. 2146(C)/2014 (Priyambada Das) and other two cases.	849 (Subsequently found disqualified on the application of the new criterion laid down by the OAT vide order dated 18.06.2015 in OA No. 2146(C)/2014)	160 (Not selected for V.V. Test as per orders passed in OA No.2146(C)/2014. But as per court order the petitioner was allowed to appear the V.V.Test	1009	The petitioner is not qualified as she has already failed to qualify in OCS Preliminary Exam. Which in mandatory in nature as per OCS Recruitment Rule
5	W.P.(C) No. 366/2016	Satyabrata Dash, GEN (M)	326416	Qualified in Perilimianry Examination held on 19.01.014	<u>Not qualified</u> in Prlim. Exam. As pr orders dt. 18.06.2015 of the Hon'ble Tribunal in OA No. 2146(C)/2014 (Priyambada Das) and other two cases.	1093 (Subsequently found disqualified on the application of the new criterion laid down by the OAT vide order dated 18.06.2015 in OA No. 2146(C)/2014)	140 (Not selected for V.V. Test as per orders passed in OA No.2146(C)/2014. But as per court order the petitioner was allowed to appear the V.V.Test	1233	The petitioner is not qualified as she has already failed to qualify in OCS Preliminary Exam. Which in mandatory in nature as per OCS Recruitment Rule
6	W.P.(C) No.	Jyotirekha Parhi GEN	102032	Qualified in Perilimianry	<u>Not qualified</u> in Prlim. Exam. As pr orders dt. 18.06.2015 of the	993 (Subsequently found disqualified	70 (Not selected for V.V. Test as per orders passed in OA		The petitioner is not qualified

	1218/2016	(M)		Examination held on 19.01.014	Hon'ble Tribunal in OA No. 2146(C)/2014 (Priyambada Das) and other two cases.	on the application of the new criterion laid down by the OAT vide order dated 18.06.2015 in OA No. 2146(C)/2014)	No.2146(C)/2014. But as per court order the petitioner was allowed to appear the V.V.Test	1063	as she has already failed to qualify in OCS Preliminary Exam. Which in mandatory in nature as per OCS Recruitment Rule
--	-----------	-----	--	-------------------------------	---	--	---	------	--

- N.B:- 1. The Civil Services Examination is conducted in three stages, the object of which is to secure best and the most suitable persons for the job, avoiding patronage and favoritism.
2. The **Preliminary examination is a qualifying in nature** while Written (**Main**) Examination is to access the candidates' knowledge and intellectual ability.
3. The interviews/viva voce test is conducted to access the candidates overall intellectual and personal quality. **The object of conducting the examination in three stages is to eliminate less meritorious candidates.**

In view of the above position, these Writ Petitions merit no consideration and the same stand dismissed accordingly.

(DR. B.R. SARANGI)
JUDGE

(S.K. MISHRA)
JUDGE

Arun/Padma