

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

ABLAPL No.5977 of 2022

Dinesh Kumar Ranasingh* *Petitioner

Mr.J.Behera, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha* *Opp. Party

*Mrs.Susamarani Sahoo,
Addl. Standing Counsel
Mr. Achutananda Pattnaik,
Advocate for the informant*

**CORAM:
JUSTICE S.K. SAHOO**

**ORDER
06.07.2022**

Order No.

02. This matter is taken up through Hybrid arrangement (video conferencing/physical mode).

Mr. Achutananda Pattnaik, learned counsel and his associates files vakalatnama on behalf of the victim along with an affidavit, which are taken on record.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel for the informant and learned counsel for the State.

This is an application under section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in connection with G.R. Case No.275 of 2022 arising out

of Nayagarh P.S. Case No.58 of 2022 pending in the Court of learned S.D.J.M., Nayagarh for alleged commission of offence under section 365 of the Indian Penal Code.

Perused the F.I.R.

As per order dated 21.06.2022, when the learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the victim is now staying with the petitioner and the petitioner is ready and willing to produce the victim girl before the Investigating Officer for recording her statement, this Court while granting interim protection to the petitioner directed the petitioner to produce the victim girl before the Inspector in-charge of Nayagarh on 30.06.2022 so that necessary steps can be taken for recording her statement before the learned S.D.J.M., Nayagarh so also for her medical examination.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the victim could not be produced before the Inspector in-charge of Nayagarh.

Mr. Achutananda Pattnaik, learned counsel for the victim has filed an affidavit of the victim wherein it is also mentioned that the victim and the petitioner are living happy conjugal life and that the petitioner had not kidnapped her and that the victim had gone with the petitioner on her own sweet will to marry him.

When interim protection was granted to the petitioner, the petitioner should have complied with the order of this Court.


Since the petitioner has flouted the order passed by this Court on 21.06.2022, I am not inclined to release the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, the ABLAPL is dismissed.

The interim order dated 21.06.2022 stands vacated.

A free copy of this order be handed over to the learned counsel for the State.

Urgent certified copy of this order be granted on proper application.



RKM