

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WP(C) No.16245 of 2011
(Through Hybrid mode)

M/s Techno India Ltd.

....

Petitioner

-versus-

***Dy. Director (Revenue), ESI and
another***

....

Opposite Parties

Mr. P. P. Ray, Advocate

CORAM: JUSTICE ARINDAM SINHA

ORDER
01.12.2022

Order No.

04.

1. None appears on behalf of petitioner.
2. Mr. Ray, learned advocate appears on behalf of the authority. Same situation prevailed on 2nd November, 2022. Text of order made that date is reproduced below.

“1. *None appears on behalf of petitioner.*

2. *Mr. Ray, learned advocate appears on behalf of revenue.*

3. *The writ petition is of year 2011. In event petitioner continues to go unrepresented on adjourned date or thereafter, the writ petition is likely to be dismissed.*

4. *List on 9th November, 2022.”*

3. The writ petition is dismissed.

(Arindam Sinha)
Judge