

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No. 3036 of 2011

***Subas Institute of
Technology***

.....

Petitioner

Mr. S. Nanda, Advocate

Vs.

***Vice-Chancellor, BPUT &
Ors.***

.....

Opposite parties

*Mr. S. Palit, Advocate
(O.Ps.1 & 3)*

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

MR. JUSTICE S.K. PANIGRAHI

ORDER

05.01.2022

Order No.

5

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner stated that he has no instructions in the matter, since it is an year old matter. Therefore, the writ petition may be disposed of granting liberty to the petitioner to approach the authority concerned, if any cause of action still survives.

3. Mr. S. Palit, learned counsel for opposite parties no.1 & 3 stated that the writ petition has become infructuous by efflux of time.

4. In view of the above, the writ petition is disposed of as infructuous.

Alok

.....
(DR. B.R. SARANGI)
JUDGE

.....
(S.K. PANIGRAHI)
JUDGE

