

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No. 20530 of 2016

Rajib Ku. Nayak & others

....

Petitioners

Mr. Lambit Senapati along with Mr.
P.P. Behera, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha & others

....

Opp. Parties

*Mr. Pravat Ku. Panda, SC (S & ME) for
opposite parties 1 and 2*

*Mr. S.S. Rao along with Mr. B.K.
Mohanty, Advocate for BSEO-O.P.No.3*

**CORAM:
JUSTICE M.S. SAHOO**

**ORDER
15.07.2022**

Order No.

- 06.
1. This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.
 2. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioners that by Notification No.775 dated 12.01.2022 issued by the Government of Odisha, Department of School and Mass Education, a corrigendum has been issued omitting “with minimum 50% marks in aggregate” appearing in Row-7 Para-5(c) of the guidelines for conducting Odisha Secondary School Teacher Eligibility Test (OSSTET) vide Resolution dated 17th September, 2016.
 3. Learned Standing Counsel also submits that such a resolution has been issued by the Department of School and Mass Education.
 4. Mr. S.S. Rao, learned counsel along with Mr. B.K. Mohanty have appeared on behalf of opposite party no.3-Board of Secondary Education.
 5. The grievance in the writ petition would no more survive in view of the issuance of the corrigendum as the petitioners had raised a grievance that the minimum qualifying marks of

50% (in +2 Exam) deprive them from participating in the selection process.

6. This Court by interim order dated 25.11.2016 in Misc. Case No.18860 of 2016, had directed that the petitioners be permitted to appear OSSTET, 2016 subject to submission of their offline application forms observing all other formalities and the result in so far as the petitioners are concerned shall however not be published without leave of this Court.

7. Accordingly, it is directed that the results of the petitioners if he had appeared at the OSSTET examination, shall be published and if the petitioners ultimately are found to have succeeded in the examination, Certificate also have to be issued expeditiously to them so as to enable them to participate in the selection process.

8. The writ petition is disposed of accordingly.

