

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WPC (OAC) No. 2519 of 2000

Dharanidhar Rana

.....

Petitioner

*Mr. Manoranjan Mohapatra,
Advocate, on behalf of Mr. U.K.
Samal, Advocate*

Vs.

State of Odisha and others

.....

Opposite parties

Mr. Balabantaray, Standing Counsel

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

ORDER

08.02.2022

Order No.

1

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Heard Mr. Manoranjan Mohapatra, learned counsel appearing on behalf of Mr. U.K. Samal, learned counsel for the petitioner.

3. The petitioner files this writ petition seeking to quash the order of recovery under Annexure-3 and not to charge the potato seed cost to the tune of Rs.54,015/- on the petitioner.

4. Mr. Manoranjan Mohapatra, learned counsel for the petitioner contended that since there was a direction for recovery of amount, the petitioner had approached this court in the present writ petition.

5. Mr. Balabantaray, learned Standing Counsel contended that though the order was passed on 21.04.1999, but the recovery was made in the year 2001 because of the investigation and consideration of the representation of the petitioner.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and going through the records, since recovery has already been made, this

writ petition stands disposed of giving liberty to the petitioner to approach the appropriate authority, if any cause of action still subsists and if he is so advised.

Arun

(DR. B.R. SARANGI, J.)

