

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P (C) No. 8015 of 2015

*Jayram Das*

.....

*Petitioner*

*Mr. A. Mishra, Advocate*

Vs.

*State of Odisha & Others*

.....

*Opposite parties*

*Mr. P.P. Mohanty, A.G.A.*

**CORAM:**

**DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI**

**MISS JUSTICE SAVITRI RATHO**

**ORDER**

**25.04.2022**

**Order No.**

11.

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

3. In this case, there is dispute relating to deposit of earnest money. The petitioner contends that he is liable to deposit 10% EMD, whereas the stand of the State is that the petitioner is liable to deposit 30% EMD.

4. Since there is disputed question of facts, this Court is not inclined to entertain this writ petition. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to pursue his remedy before the appropriate forum in terms of the DTCN/agreement executed between the parties.

5. With the aforesaid liberty, the writ petition stands disposed of.

**(DR. B.R. SARANGI)**  
**JUDGE**

*Ashok/Sukanta*

**(SAVITRI RATHO)**  
**JUDGE**