

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P (C) No.12335 of 2021

*Dredging & Destiltation Co.
Pvt. Ltd.*

.....

Petitioner

Mr.Basudev Pujari, Advocate

Vs.

State of Odisha and others

.....

Opposite parties

Mr. B.P.Tripathy, AGA

Mr. S.K.Nath, Advocate

(for O.P.No.2)

Mr.K.B.Panda, Advocate

(for O.P.No.4)

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

MISS JUSTICE SAVITRI RATHO

ORDER

16.03.2022

Order No.

05.

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Heard Mr. B.Pujari, learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr.B.P.Tripathy, learned Addl. Government Advocate, Mr. S.K.Nath, learned counsel for opp. party No.2 and Mr. K.B.Panda, learned counsel for the opp. party No.4.

3. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking to quash the order dated 24.03.2021 passed by the opp. party No.2 under Annexure-3, and to issue direction to the opp. parties 1 and 2 to consider/evaluate the tender process, including the bid submitted by the petitioner company, and finalise the process of determination of the successful bidder for placing the work order.

4. Mr. B.Pujari, learned counsel for the petitioner contended that making grievance, the petitioner has already approached the opp. party No.1 vide Annexure-5 dated 25.3.2021, which is still

pending consideration. It is contended that since the tender is for a period of one year and the same is going to be lapsed, in the event, this writ petition is not considered before the tender period is over, it will cause prejudice to the petitioner and, as such, the writ petition will become infructuous. In reply thereto, learned counsel appearing for all the opp. parties contended that let this writ petition be disposed of directing opp. party No.1 to consider the grievance of the petitioner within a stipulated time.

5. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and after going through the records, this writ petition stands disposed of directing opp. party No.1 to consider the representation of the petitioner as at Annexure-5 by passing a reasoned and speaking order as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of four weeks from the date of production of certified copy of this order.

6. Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

(DR. B.R. SARANGI)
JUDGE

(SAVITRI RATHO)
JUDGE