

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK**

**W.P.(C) No.9343 Of 2022  
(Through hybrid mode)**

***Tapaswini Sahoo***

....

***Petitioner***

Mr. Bijaya Kumar Nayak 3, Advocate

*-versus-*

***State of Odisha and others***

....

***Opposite Parties***

Mr. P.C. Panda, AGA

**CORAM: JUSTICE ARINDAM SINHA**

**ORDER  
26.04.2022**

**Order No.**

**01.**

1. Mr. Nayak, learned advocate appears on behalf of petitioner and submits, his client is widow of a person, who died by fire. She made representation dated 18<sup>th</sup> November, 2021 before opposite party no.2.

2. Mr. Panda, learned advocate, Additional Government Advocate appears on behalf of State and submits, the case is not covered under the policy. On query from Court, he submits, opposite party no.3 is the proper authority.

3. Petitioner is directed to approach opposite party no.3 with this order and copy of her representation dated 18<sup>th</sup> November, 2021. Opposite party no.3 will consider and deal with the representation

within three weeks of communication. Petitioner must be told result thereof in that time.

4. The writ petition is disposed of.

**(Arindam Sinha)**  
**Judge**

*Prasant*

