

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No.16850 of 2013

Kasinath Samal

....

Petitioner

Mr. D.P. Mohapatra, Advocate
-versus-

Rayagada Municipality and others

....

Opposite Parties

Mr. S.S. Rao, Advocate (for O.P. No.6)

CORAM:

**DR. JUSTICE DR. B.R. SARANGI
MR. JUSTICE S.K. PANIGRAHI**

ORDER

04.01.2022

Order No.

3. 1. This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.
2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. S.S. Rao, learned counsel for the opposite party No.6.
3. The petitioner has filed this writ petition to quash the tender call notice under Annexure-1 and consequential work order issued to opposite party No.6 under Annexure-2 series.
4. Mr. D.P. Mohapatra, learned counsel for the petitioner contended that he has no instructions in the matter. Mr. S.S. Rao, learned counsel for the opposite party No.6 contended that pursuant to tender notice, the work was awarded to opposite party no.6 and he has concluded the work since long.

5. In that view of the matter, by efflux of time, the writ petition has become infructuous and is disposed of as such.

(DR. B.R. Sarangi)
Judge

(S.K. Panigrahi)
Judge

pcd

