

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

CRLMC No. 887 OF 2020

Sidhant Samantaray & another

..... Petitioners
Mr. S.Das , Adv.

-versus-

State of Odisha & another

..... Opposite Parties
Mr. D.Mund,AGA
Mr. Sitikanta Mishra, Adv. For O.P.
No. 2

CORAM:
JUSTICE V. NARASINGH

ORDER
11.05.2022

Order No.
05.

1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Mode.
2. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
3. Opposite party No.2 has filed an affidavit stating inter alia, that the matter has been settled inter se.
4. Paragraphs-3 and 4 of the said affidavit is quoted hereunder for convenience of ready reference.

“3. That, after submission of the charge-sheet, the dispute has been settled between my-self and the accused-petitioners at our locality in presence of the village gentlemen and well wishers of both the parties.

4. That, since the dispute between the parties have already amicably settled and

both of them now living peaceful and maintaining cordial relationship in their respective village and if the proceeding is continuing it will be affected the good relationship between the parties.”

5. Taking note of submissions across the bar, the recitals of the affidavit and in tune with the law laid down by the Apex Court in the case of **Parbatbhai Aahir @ Parbatbhai Bhimsinhbhai Karmur and Ors. Vrs. The State of Gujarat and Anr.**, reported in AIR 2017 SC 4843, the proceeding in connection with G.R. Case No. 1370 of 2019 arising out of Cuttack Mahila P.S. Case No. 83 of 2019, on the file of learned S.D.J.M., Cuttack stands quashed in respect of the Petitioners in exercise of power under Section 482 Cr.P.C.

6. Accordingly, the CRLMC stands disposed of.

7. Urgent certified copy of this order be granted as per rules.

(*V.Narasingh*)
Judge

Dhal