

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No.8264 of 2022

Rabindranath Sharma

....

Petitioner

Mr. A.K. Das, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha and others

.... ***Opposite Parties***

Mr. Y.S.B. Babu, A.G.A.

CORAM:

JUSTICE A.K. MOHAPATRA

ORDER

18.04..2022

Order No.

01.

1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual /Physical Mode).
2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner as well as learned Additional Government Advocate. Perused the record.
3. The present writ petition has been filed by the Petitioner with the following prayer:-

“It is, therefore, prayed that your Lordships may be pleased to direct the State/O.Ps for sanction and disbursement of financial benefit under 3rd & 3rd RACP o completion of 20 & 30 years of service in the post of VLW/PEO with Grade Pay @ Rs.4600/- in 2nd RACP w.e.f. 1.1.2013 and grade pay @ Rs.4800/- in 3rd RACP w.e.f. 28.02.2014 to 18.06.2014 and Grade Pay @ Rs.5400/- from 19.06.2014 till the date of retirement on VRS w.e.f. 31.05.2021 in favour of the petitioner in view of Panchayati Raj Deptt.

Notification dt.19.06.2014 under Annexure-3 and the settled principle of law in Biharti Lal case and accordingly fix up pay and revise the pension of the Petitioner with payment of all consequential financial benefits.

And pass any other order/orders as deemed fit and proper in the ends of justice, equity and good conscience.”

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that similar question had come up for consideration before a Division Bench of this Court in W.P.(C) No. 2831 of 2016 disposed of on 27.06.2016 (***State of Odisha and another v. Bihari Lal and others***), which was arising out of an order dated 12.03.2015 passed by the State Administrative Tribunal in O.A. No. 520 of 2014 and this Court quashed the order dated 21.12.2013 (wrongly mentioned as 21.12.2012 in the judgment) and held that the applicants are eligible to get financial upgradation in the posts of GPEO/PA, ABDO/SDPO and DPO on completion of 10/20/30 years of service in the post of VLW under the RACP scheme. Therefore, the petitioner’s case may be considered in the light of the said judgment.

5. It is also submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioner that the Opposite Parties be directed to consider the case of the Petitioner as per the settled law decided in the case of ***State of Odisha-vrs.-Biharilal Barik*** decided by this Court in W.P.(C) No.20358 of 2017 decided on 23.08.2017.

6. Considering the limited nature of prayer of the Petitioner and considering the fact that the Principal Secretary to Government, Panchayati Raj & D.W. Department, Bhubaneswar (Opposite Party No.1) shall do well to consider the case of the Petitioner within a

period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. The decision so taken shall be communicated to the Petitioner within a period of two weeks from the date of such decision. The case of the Petitioner shall be considered by the Opposite Party No.1 in the light of the judgment in the case of **State of Odisha-vrs.-Biharilal Barik** decided by this Court in SLP(C) **No.20358 of 2017** decided on 23.08.2017. The writ petition stands disposed of directing the Competent Authority to dispose of the case of the petitioner by undertaking the entire exercise within a period of two months from the date of communication.

7. Petitioner is directed to serve an extra copy of the brief on Mr. Y.S.P Babu, learned Additional Government Advocate for the State for communication purpose.

Urgent certified copy of this order be granted on proper application.

