

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No. 23303 of 2012

Chinmoy Kumar Das **Petitioner**

Mr. Pradeep Kumar Rout, Advocate

-versus-

Authorised Officer, State

Bank of India, Baripada

Main Branch, Mayurbhanj

and another

.... **Opp. Parties**

Mr. Subrat Sarangi, Advocate for the Bank

CORAM:

JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

JUSTICE M. S. RAMAN

ORDER (Oral)

11.03.2022

Order No.

- 04.** 1. This matter is taken up through virtual/physical mode.
2. The petitioner is a defaulting borrower and has challenged the demand notice dated 17th January, 2011, whereby the outstanding liabilities of Rs.6,88,310/- due on the said date was recalled on account of the loan account having been declared NPA. Further challenge is to the notice dated 21st August, 2011, whereby symbolic possession of the secured asset was assumed. Further prayer is for directing the Bank to settle the liabilities under an OTS scheme.
3. At the time of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the present writ petition has

become infructuous in view of the loan account having been closed in view of the settlement arrived at with the Bank.

4. In view of the above submission, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous.

**(Jaswant Singh)
Judge**

**(M. S. Raman)
Judge**

March 11th, 2022
Cuttack

Basudev

