

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P (C) No.9299 of 2014

*M/s Bhusan Steel Limited and
others*

.....

Petitioners

Mr.P. Acharya, Sr. Advocate

Vs.

State of Orissa and others

.....

Opposite Parties

Mr. S.N. Nayak, ASC (O.Ps. 1 and 2)

Mr. B.S. Rayguru, CGC (O.P.3)

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

MISS JUSTICE SAVITRI RATHO

ORDER

05.05.2022

Order No.

14.

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. It is contended by learned Additional Standing Counsel that by efflux of time, the writ petition has become infructuous.

3. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of, as infructuous. However, if any cause of action still survives, the petitioners are at liberty to pursue their remedy before the appropriate forum, if it so advised, in terms of agreement/DTCN, which is applicable to the petitioners.

(DR. B.R. SARANGI)
JUDGE

Arun/Puspa

(SAVITRI RATHO)
JUDGE