

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

ABLAPL No.2358 of 2022

**1. Sridhar Sahoo
2. Bijaylaxmi Sahoo
3. Puspanjali Sahoo @
Kamini**

.... Petitioners

Mr. R.R. Chhotaray, Advocate

-versus-

**1. State of Odisha
2. Sanghamitra Sahoo**

.... Opp. Parties

*Mr.P.K. Mohanty,
Addl. Standing Counsel*

**CORAM:
JUSTICE S.K. SAHOO**

**ORDER
23.03.2022**

संक्षिप्त न्याय

Order No.

01. This matter is taken up through Hybrid arrangement (video conferencing/physical mode).

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned counsel for the State.

This is an application under section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in connection with Khurda P.S. Case No. 70 of 2022 corresponding to G.R. Case No. 229 of 2022 pending in the Court of learned S.D.J.M., Khurda for commission of alleged offences under sections 498-A, 294, 323, 506, 406, 34 of the Indian Penal Code read with section 4 of the D.P. Act.

Perused the F.I.R. annexed to the anticipatory bail application.

Considering the submission of the learned counsel for the petitioners that petitioner no.1 is the father-in-law, petitioner no.2 is the mother-in-law and petitioner no.3 is the sister-in-law of the informant and the case arises out of a complaint petition, which was subsequently registered as a first information report after the same was sent to the Inspector in-charge of Khurda police station under section 156(3) of Cr.P.C., taking into account the nature of accusation against the petitioners and there was matrimonial dispute between the parties and after hearing the learned counsel for the State, I am inclined to release the petitioners on anticipatory bail and accordingly, this Court directs that in the event of arrest of the petitioners in connection with the aforesaid case, they shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/-(rupees ten thousand) each with two sureties each for the like amount to the satisfaction of the arresting officer with further conditions that they shall make themselves available for interrogation by the I.O. as and when required and they shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing any facts to the Courts or to the investigating Officer.

Violation of any of the above conditions shall entail cancellation of bail.

The ABLAPL is accordingly disposed of.
Issue urgent certified copy as per Rules.

(S.K. Sahoo)
Judge

P

