

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

ABLAPL No.2327 of 2022

1. Rasmi Ranjan Bal

2. Mihir Ranjan Bal

3. Jyoti Ranjan Bal

....

Petitioners

Mr. S.R. Patanaik, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha

....

Opp. Party

Mr.J.P. Patra

Addl. Standing Counsel

**CORAM:
JUSTICE S.K. SAHOO**

**ORDER
30.03.2022**

Order No.

02.

This matter is taken up through Hybrid arrangement (video conferencing/physical mode).

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned counsel for the State.

This is an application under section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in connection with Balichandrapur P.S. Case No.85 of 2022 corresponding to C.T. Case No.293 of 2022 pending before the learned J.M.F.C., Chandikhole, Jajpur for commission of alleged offences under sections 143, 451, 353, 332, 379, 506, 149 of the Indian Penal Code read with section 29A(a) of Orissa Grama Panchayat Act.

Learned counsel for the State on instruction submitted that the petitioner no.1 Rasmi Ranjan Bal has got one criminal antecedent.

In view of the available materials on record and considering the nature of accusation against petitioner no.1 Rasmi Ranjan Bal, while not inclining to grant anticipatory bail to him, it is observed that in the event petitioner no.1 surrenders in the learned Court below and moves for bail, the learned Courts below shall dispose of the same in accordance with law expeditiously. The case records shall be made available to the Courts concerned for disposal of the bail application.

So far as petitioners nos.2 and 3, namely, Mihir Ranjan Bal and Jyoti Ranjan Bal are concerned, taking into account the nature of accusation and absence of criminal antecedents against them, I am inclined to release them on anticipatory bail and accordingly, this Court directs that in the event of arrest of the petitioners nos.2 and 3 in connection with the aforesaid case, they shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/-(rupees ten thousand) each with two sureties each for the like amount to the satisfaction of the arresting officer with further conditions that they shall make themselves available for interrogation by the I.O. as and when required and they shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise

to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing any facts to the Courts or to the Investigating Officer.

Violation of any of the above conditions shall entail cancellation of bail.

The ABLAPL is accordingly disposed of.

Issue urgent certified copy as per Rules.

(S.K. Sahoo)
Judge

P

