

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No.152 of 2016

***M/s. Auro Ispat India Private
Limited and Others***

.... Petitioners

Mr. Roopam Mishra, Proxy Counsel
on behalf of Mr. Tanmay Mishra, Advocate

-versus-

***Authorised Officer, Stressed
Assets Management Branch
(SAMB), State Bank of India,
Bhubaneswar & Another***

.... Opp. Parties

Mr. Himanshu Patnaik,
Advocate for the Bank

**CORAM:
JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH
JUSTICE M.S. SAHOO**

**ORDER (Oral)
06.12.2022
(Hybrid Mode)**

Order No.

- 07.** **1.** Petitioner No.1, Private Company and its Directors (Petitioner Nos.2 to 4) by filing the present writ petition have laid challenge to the Memo dated 31.01.2015 (Annexure-2) and 21.12.2015 (Annexure-5), whereby their names have been proposed to be included in the list of willful defaulters as per the RBI guidelines for fraudulently diverting the amounts of funds/loans raised to other users/Companies for which they were not availed.
- 2.** It is apparent that both the aforesaid impugned notices are not any orders whereby any decision has been taken instead are only informing

the petitioners to make a representation against the proposal for inclusion of their names as willful defaulters and also informing them of the date of personal hearing fixed on 07.01.2016 at a notified venue for the first committee to take an appropriate decision upon the representation filed pursuant to Annexure-2.

3. At the time of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioners has filed a Memo dated 06.12.2022 in Court stating therein that the petitioners do not want to proceed further with the Writ Petition and prays for permission to withdraw the same. The Memo be kept on record.

4. In view of the Memo filed, the Writ Petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

(Jaswant Singh)
Judge

(M.S. Sahoo)
Judge

AKK

6th December, 2022
Cuttack