

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No. 4601 of 2022

Bira Kishor Dash

.....

Petitioners

Mr. S.K. Purohit, Advocate

Vs.

State of Odisha & Ors.

.....

Opposite parties

State Counsel

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

MR. JUSTICE V. NARASINGH

ORDER

16.02.2022

Order No.

1

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Heard counsel for the petitioner.

3. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking to reject the bid of opposite party no.5 and he further seeks direction to consider his tender/bid documents of the remaining two contractors i.e. petitioner and Santosh Mishra.

4. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner states that highlighting the grievances, the petitioner has made representation to opposite party no.3 vide Annexure-5 and the same may be directed to be considered within a stipulated time, to which learned State Counsel has no objection.

5. As agreed by learned counsel for the parties, this Court, without expressing any opinion on the merits of

the case, disposes of the writ petition directing opposite party no.3 to consider the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure-5 and pass appropriate order in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of production of certified copy this order.

6. Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

Alok

.....
(**DR. B.R. SARANGI**)
JUDGE

.....
(**V. NARASINGH**)
JUDGE

