

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P (C) No. 21878 of 2014

Dula Oram

.....

Petitioner

Mr. P.R. Nayak, Advocate

Vs.

State of Odisha & Others

.....

Opposite Parties

Mr. P.K. Muduli, A.G.A

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

MR. JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY

ORDER

11.11.2022

Order No.

3.

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the land in question has been forcibly acquired by the opp. parties, but in paragraph 5 of the counter affidavit filed by Opp. party No.4, it is stated that the opp. parties have not acquired the land of the petitioner.

4. Since there is a disputed question of facts, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner may be permitted to withdraw the writ petition with liberty to pursue his remedy before the appropriate forum in accordance with law.

5. In view of such submission, the Writ Petition is disposed of as withdrawn with the liberty as prayed for.

sangita

(DR. B.R. SARANGI)
JUDGE

(B. P. SATAPATHY)
JUDGE

