

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No.4045 OF 2022

**Manoj Kumar Pandey @
Manoj Ku. Pandey**

.....

Petitioner

*Mr. S. Mohapatra,
Advocate*

Vs.

State of Odisha & Ors.

.....

Opposite parties

State Counsel

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

ORDER

08.02.2022

Order No.

1

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

3. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking direction to opposite party no.2 to release the subsistence allowance from the month of February, 2021 onwards in favour of the petitioner placed under suspension and he further seeks direction to consider his representation under Annexure-3.

4. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner states that highlighting the grievances, the petitioner has made representation to opposite party no.2 vide Annexure-3 and the same may be directed to be considered within a stipulated time, to which learned State Counsel has no objection.

5. As agreed by learned counsel for the parties, this

Court, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, disposes of the writ petition directing opposite party no.2 to consider the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure-3 and pass appropriate order in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of production of certified copy this order.

6. Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

Ashok

(DR. B.R. SARANGI)
JUDGE

