

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No.3928 OF 2022

Himansu Sekhar Nayak		Petitioner
		<i>Mr. T.K. Biswal, Advocate</i>
	Vs.	
State of Odisha & Ors.		Opposite parties
		<i>State Counsel</i>

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

ORDER

10.02.2022

Order No.

1

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

3. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking direction to opposite party no.1 to regularize his services in the post of Secretary within a stipulated period.

4. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner states that highlighting the grievances, the petitioner has made representation to opposite party no.1 vide Annexure-5 and the same may be directed to be considered within a stipulated time, to which learned State Counsel has no objection.

5. As agreed by learned counsel for the parties, this Court, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, disposes of the writ petition directing opposite party no.1 to consider the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure-5 and pass appropriate order in accordance

with law within a period of three months from the date of production of certified copy this order.

6. Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

Ashok

(DR. B.R. SARANGI)
JUDGE

